Control of Sericea Lespedeza using Late-Season Prescribed Burning

KC Olson, W. H. Fick, J. A. Alexander, J. Lemmon, G. A. Gatson
Department of Animal Sciences & Industry, Kansas State University
Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Economic Impact of Prescribed Fire

- **Estimated net income from improved cattle growth in the Flint Hills**
  - $20 to 50 million annually
- **Inexpensive control of woody-stemmed plants**
  - Estimated cash cost of prescribed burning ≈ $0.75 / acre
  - Estimated cash cost of herbicide application ≈ $3 to 83 / acre
  - Estimated cost of mechanical brush control ≈ $85 to 300 / acre
  - Preservation of the native prairie ≈ Priceless!

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Liabilities Associated with Prescribed Fire

- **Use confined to a dogmatically narrow period of time in early spring**
  - Late March and April
- **Smoke Management**
  - Downwind municipalities deal with degraded air quality when burning activities are concentrated in early spring
- **Labor Management**
  - Early spring is also the busiest and most stressful time of year for Kansas farmers and ranchers
- **Fire Safety**
  - Prescribed fires can be difficult to control and appropriate weather is relatively rare during early spring
- **Early-spring fires do not control the most pernicious invasive species**
  - Sericea lespedeza and old-world bluestems

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Sericea Lespedeza: A Landscape Killer

- **Tolerant of poor soils**
- **Robust canopy**
- **Resistant to grazing**
- **High in condensed tannins**
- **Deeply-rooted perennial**
- **Prolific seed production**
- **Extended seed dormancy**

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Effects of Growing-Season Prescribed Burning on Vigor of Sericea Lespedeza

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Growing-Season Burns for Sericea Lespedeza Control

- This presentation reports results from a 4-yr experiment on native tallgrass prairie that is affected by sericea lespedeza
  - National Fish & Wildlife Foundation (project no. 2003.12.039817)
- The 125-ac site was divided into nine burn units (14 ± 6.4 ac) that were burned annually for 4 consecutive years
- Prescribed fire treatments were:
  - Early spring (1 April ± 11 d)
  - Mid-summer (1 August ± 2 d)
  - Late summer (1 September ± 3 d)

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Figure 1. Water-Year Rainfall: Fall 2013 to Fall 2017



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY


Pasture # 1
Burned 07.28.14
Pictured on 07.28.14
KANSAS STATE
UNIVERSITY


Moyer Pasture (Sericea)
Humboldt Creek Rd
Google Earth Imagery
08.12.14
KANSAS STATE
UNIVERSITY


KANSAS STATE
UNIVERSITY
Pasture # 5
Burned 04.11.16
Pictured on 04.11.16


Pasture # 5
Burned 04.11.16
Pictured on 04.11.16
KANSAS STATE
UNIVERSITY

August 2, 2016

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

September 4, 2016

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY


Pasture # 7
Burned 08.01.14
Pictured on 08.01.14
Pasture # 3
Burned 08.30.14
Pictured on 09.02.14
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY


Figure 2. Sericea lespedeza, % basal plant over
SL, % basal cover
10
8
6
4
2
0
a
b
b
Early spring
Mid-summer
Late summer
P < 0.01
SEM = 1.559
Basal cover, %
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
a, b Means w/ unlike superscripts differ (P ≤ 0.01)

Figure 3. Sericea lespedeza over time, % basal plant cover



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Treatment – P = 0.02
Year – P = 0.47
Treatment x time – P = 0.35

Figure 4. Effect of growing-season fire on SL aerial frequency



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

a, b, c Means w/ unlike superscripts differ ($P \leq 0.02$)

Figure 5. Effect of growing-season fire on SL stem height



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

a, b Means w/ unlike superscripts differ ($P \leq 0.04$)

Figure 6. Sericea lespedeza whole-plant weight at dormancy



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

a, b Means w/ unlike superscripts differ ($P < 0.01$)

Figure 7. Sericea lespedeza seed production



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

[a, b] Means w/ unlike superscripts differ ($P < 0.01$)


Spring Burn 2015
Mid-Summer Burn 2015
Late-Summer Burn 2015
Pasture 5
38762.1 mg
Pasture 1
8915.0 mg
Pasture 2
565.3 mg
Pasture 6
137639.5 mg
Pasture 4
4631.0 mg
Pasture 3
0 mg
Pasture 9
106541.6 mg
Pasture 7
4917.6 mg
Pasture 8
0 mg


Spring Burn 2016
Mid-Summer Burn 2016
Late-Summer Burn 2016
Pasture 5
102,354.6 mg
Pasture 1
3342 mg
Pasture 2
0 mg
Pasture 6
124,242.9 mg
Pasture 4
5795.5 mg
Pasture 3
0 mg
Pasture 9
209,608.3 mg
Pasture 7
7488.6 mg
Pasture 8
0 mg



What happened to the sericea?

- **Sericea plants were progressively weakened over time**
  - Understory and overstory plants were equally affected by growing-season fires
- **Growing-season fires strongly suppressed seed production**
- **Regardless of when fire is applied, it scarifies sericea seeds and stimulates germination (Wong et al., 2012)**
  - Seeds germinated in spring = juvenile plants with a full growing season to mature = maximum survival odds
  - Seeds germinated in September or October = juvenile plants with little time to mature before winter = minimum survival odds

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY


Pasture # 2
Burned 08.30.14
Pictured on 09.04.14
So… what happened to everything else?


Figure 8. Bare soil, % of total area
Bare soil, % area
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
Early spring
Mid-summer
Late summer
P = 0.88
SEM = 8.79
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Figure 9. Litter cover, % of total area



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Figure 10. Plant cover, % of total basal area



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Figure 11. Effects of growing-season fire on forage biomass



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

[a, b] Means w/ unlike superscripts differ ($P < 0.01$)

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Figure 12. Effects of growing-season fire on 2017 SL biomass



[a, b, c, d, f] Means w/ unlike superscripts differ ($P < 0.01$)

Table 1. Graminoid cover, % of total basal cover

Item	Early spring	Mid-summer	Late summer	SEM*	P-value†
Total grass cover, %	82.8	85.9	86.5	2.17	0.20
C4 grasses, %	67.7	65.9	64.8	3.40	0.70
C4 tall grasses, %	36.2[a]	41.1[a]	22.1[b]	3.52	< 0.01
C4 mid grasses, %	28.2[a]	23.7[a]	39.3[b]	3.48	< 0.01
C4 short grasses, %	3.3[a]	1.1[b]	3.4[a]	1.00	0.04
C3 grasses and sedges, %	15.1	19.7	21.7	3.11	0.11
Annual grasses, %	0.07	0.33	0	0.227	0.31

* Mixed-model SEM associated with comparison of treatment main effect means.
† Treatment main effect.
[a, b] Within row, means with unlike superscripts differ ($P \leq 0.05$).

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Table 2. Specific graminoids, % of total basal cover

Item	Early spring	Mid-summer	Late summer	SEM*	P-value†
Big bluestem, %	18.4[a]	18.1[a]	11.9[b]	2.61	0.02
Indian grass, %	12.1[ab]	15.0[a]	9.4[b]	2.13	0.04
Switchgrass, %	5.5	4.0	1.5	1.70	0.07
Little bluestem, %	14.2[a]	11.8[a]	23.0[b]	3.76	0.01
Sideoats grama, %	9.9	7.4	11.0	3.27	0.53

* Mixed-model SEM associated with comparison of treatment main effect means.
† Treatment main effect.
[a, b] Within row, means with unlike superscripts differ ($P \leq 0.05$).

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Table 3. Forb cover, % of total basal cover

Item	Early spring	Mid-summer	Late summer	SEM*	P-value†
Total forb cover, %	15.4	12.1	11.2	2.28	0.16
Perennial forbs, %	15.3[a]	10.9[b]	9.7[b]	2.05	0.02
Sericea lespedeza, %	7.3[a]	3.4[b]	1.7[b]	1.56	< 0.01
Baldwin's ironweed, %	0.7[a]	0.2[b]	0.4[b]	0.16	0.01
Western ragweed, %	3.3[a]	0.9[b]	0.7[b]	0.53	< 0.01
Major wildflowers, %	0.6[a]	0.9[ab]	1.4[b]	0.28	0.03
Annual forbs, %	0.1[a]	1.2[b]	1.5[b]	0.52	0.02

* Mixed-model SEM associated with comparison of treatment main effect means.
† Treatment main effect.
[a, b] Within row, means with unlike superscripts differ ($P \leq 0.05$).

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Table 3. Forb cover, % of total basal cover

Item	Early spring	Mid-summer	Late summer	SEM*	P-value†
Total forb cover, %	15.4	12.1	11.2	2.28	0.16
Perennial forbs, %	15.3[a]	10.9[b]	9.7[b]	2.05	0.02
Sericea lespedeza, %	7.3[a]	3.4[b]	1.7[b]	1.56	< 0.01
Baldwin's ironweed, %	0.7[a]	0.2[b]	0.4[b]	0.16	0.01
Western ragweed, %	3.3[a]	0.9[b]	0.7[b]	0.53	< 0.01
Major wildflowers, %	0.6[a]	0.9[ab]	1.4[b]	0.28	0.03
Annual forbs, %	0.1[a]	1.2[b]	1.5[b]	0.52	0.02

* Mixed-model SEM associated with comparison of treatment main effect means.
† Treatment main effect.
[a, b] Within row, means with unlike superscripts differ ($P \leq 0.05$).

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Table 3. Forb cover, % of total basal cover

Item	Early spring	Mid-summer	Late summer	SEM*	P-value†
Total forb cover, %	15.4	12.1	11.2	2.28	0.16
Perennial forbs, %	15.3[a]	10.9[b]	9.7[b]	2.05	0.02
Sericea lespedeza, %	7.3[a]	3.4[b]	1.7[b]	1.56	< 0.01
Baldwin's ironweed, %	0.7[a]	0.2[b]	0.4[b]	0.16	0.01
Western ragweed, %	3.3[a]	0.9[b]	0.7[b]	0.53	< 0.01
Major wildflowers, %	0.6[a]	0.9[ab]	1.4[b]	0.28	0.03
Annual forbs, %	0.1[a]	1.2[b]	1.5[b]	0.52	0.02

* Mixed-model SEM associated with comparison of treatment main effect means.
† Treatment main effect.
[a, b] Within row, means with unlike superscripts differ ($P \leq 0.05$).

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Figure 13. Shrub cover, % total basal cover



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Figure 14. Increaser shrubs*, % total basal cover



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

* Combined basal cover of roughleaf dogwood, smooth sumac, and buckbrush.

[a, b] Means w/ unlike superscripts differ ($P = 0.04$)

Table 4. Species richness (no. of plant species identified)

Item	Early spring	Mid-summer	Late summer	SEM*	P-value†
Overall species richness	22[a]	27[b]	27[b]	1.6	< 0.01
Native species richness	21[a]	25[b]	26[b]	1.6	< 0.01
Graminoid richness	10	11	11	0.6	0.46
Forb richness	10[a]	15[b]	15[b]	1.2	< 0.01

[a, b] Within row, means with unlike superscripts differ ($P \leq 0.05$).

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Table 5. Forb species diversity

Item	Early spring	Mid-summer	Late summer	SEM*	P-value†
Forb richness	10[a]	15[b]	15[b]	1.2	< 0.01
Forb species evenness	0.70[a]	0.76[b]	0.81[b]	0.039	0.02
Simpson diversity index	0.57[a]	0.73[b]	0.83[b]	0.066	< 0.01
Simpson dominance index	0.65[a]	0.52[b]	0.45[b]	0.057	< 0.01

[a, b] Within row, means with unlike superscripts differ ($P \leq 0.05$).

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Conclusions

- **Forage biomass on all treatments averaged ≥ 4,000 lbs DM/acre over 4 years on July 17**
  - Prescribed fire timing did not affect peak forage production
  - Minor shifts between big bluestem and little bluestem basal cover occurred on the September treatment only
- **Mid-summer & late-summer prescribed fires reduced basal & aerial frequency of SL**
- **Mid-summer & late summer prescribed fires decreased seed production by SL dramatically**
- **Improved forb heterogeneity in mid- and late summer treatments is a strong indication of improving rangeland health**

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Implications

- **Growing season prescribed burning is consistent with responsible ecosystem stewardship in the Flint Hills**
- **Growing-season prescribed burning appears be an inexpensive and comprehensive means to control SL**
  - Current cash cost of prescribed burning is about $0.75 / acre
  - Current cash cost of fall-applied herbicide is $18 to $36 / acre
- **Growing-season prescribed burning is temporally compatible with *intensive early stocking***
  - Effects on animal performance are unknown but the cost of any performance decrease is unlikely to exceed the margin between burning and spraying costs

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Implications

- **The cost of any performance decrease is unlikely to exceed the margin between burning and spraying costs**
  - Current value of gain - 650 to 850 lbs (Cattlefax) = $0.90 / lb
  - Historical IES stocking density = 3 ac / steer
  - Cost to burn 3.3 acres = 3 ac x $0.75 / ac = $2.25
  - Cost to spray 3 acres (including labor) = 3 ac x $18 / ac = $54.00
  - Spray / Burn margin for 3 ac = $54.00 - $2.25 = $51.75
- **Breakeven performance difference**
  - $51.75 ÷ $0.90 / lb = 57.5 lbs less gain per steer
  - ADG difference on burned vs. non-burned range is only 0.2 to 0.3 lbs/day
    - 0.3 lbs/day x 100 days = 30 lbs extra gain

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Implications

- **Smoke Management**
  - Burning SL-affected acreage outside of the conventional fire season would decrease incidence of downwind air-quality problems
- **Labor Management**
  - Burning some acreage outside of the 'normal' window of time may result in improved time and labor management for ranchers
- **Fire Safety**
  - Much of the 'energy' of a growing-season fire is spent vaporizing water
  - These fires burn with much less intensity, heat, and speed than conventional, dormant-season fires; loss of control is less likely
  - Patience during ignition is required; some cleanup burning may be necessary for complete coverage

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY


August 2, 2016


August 2, 2016


August 2, 2016


August 4, 2016